

Carbon Report - SUPERIOR 1 - ETHIK RENTEN

Identifier: - | Report created on: Apr 12, 2021 | Holdings Date: | Benchmark: Proxy - Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate Corporate Bond Index
 Currency: EUR | Industry Classification: GICS | Company Breakdown Metrics: carbon intensity (tCO₂e / Mio. revenue) | Value: 191'279'127.00 EUR

Executive Summary


	Coverage		Carbon				
	Disclosing Titles	by Weight	Emissions Scope 1+2	Emissions incl. Scope 3	Relative Carbon Footprint	Carbon Intensity	Weighted Average Carbon Intensity
Portfolio	90.8%	64.2%	45'092.1	135'537.8	235.7	174.3	160.5
Benchmark	86.7%	83.6%	33'732.5	136'277.5	176.4	201.7	223.8
		market value	tCO ₂ e	tCO ₂ e	tCO ₂ e / EUR Mio invested	tCO ₂ e / EUR Mio revenue	tCO ₂ e / EUR Mio revenue

This report analyses a portfolio of securities in terms of the carbon emissions and other carbon related characteristics of the underlying portfolio companies. It compares this data to the performance of a relevant respectively chosen market benchmark. The data below represents a high-level subset of the information found in the following pages.

The headline metrics provided in the table above includes absolute and relative figures for portfolio carbon emissions as well as intensity measures: The total carbon emission answers the main question "What is my portfolio's total carbon footprint?" as it measures the carbon footprint of a portfolio taking scope 1-2 as well as scope 3 emissions into account. The relative carbon footprint is a normalized measure of a portfolio's contribution and is defined as the total carbon emissions of the portfolio per million EUR invested. It enables comparisons with a benchmark, between multiple portfolios, over time and regardless of portfolio size.


Carbon intensity is expressed as the total carbon emissions per million EUR of revenue and allows investors to measure how much carbon emissions per dollar of revenue are generated. It therefore measures the carbon efficiency of a portfolio per unit of output.

The Weighted Average Carbon Intensity is disconnected from ownership and thus does not capture the investor's contribution to climate change, but rather measures the portfolio's exposure to carbon-intensive companies. Therefore it is applicable for comparison across asset classes, including fixed income.




The portfolio's intensity is 13.6% lower than the benchmark.

Sector Weight



Contribution to Emissions



■ Materials
 ■ Industrials
 ■ Utilities
 ■ All other Sectors

The Sectors Materials, Industrials and Utilities (per GICS classification) in the portfolio make up 18.6% of the weight vs. 91.7% of the contribution to emissions.

Attribution Analysis

Portfolio underperformance	11'359.7 tCO ₂ e
Portfolio underperformance	33.7%

The portfolio's carbon underperformance is 11'359.7 tCO₂e versus the benchmark. This is explained by 1.5% carbon outperformance through sector weighting and 15.3% outperformance by stock picking.

Calculations

Each holding's contribution to the carbon footprint is calculated on an equity ownership basis. Analysis is based on Scope 1+2.

Carbon Footprint Analysis - Key Data


	Portfolio	Benchmark
Total Value (EUR)	191'279'127	191'279'127
Total Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	45'092.1	33'732.5
Relative Carbon Footprint (tCO ₂ e)	235.7	176.4
Total Offsetting Costs (EUR)	541'105.7	404'789.5
Percentage of Emission Disclosing Titles	90.8%	86.7%
Weighted Carbon Coverage Ratio	64.2%	83.6%

Valuation Date: Apr 12, 2021
 Screening Scope: Total (Scope 12 Emissions)
 Comments: primary looking at equity and fixed income will be matched
 GICS used - carbon intensity (tCO₂e / Mio. revenue) used

The burning of fossil fuels contributes to the increase of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, which causes Climate Change. By investing in a company, you also finance the emission of greenhouse gases. The SUPERIOR 1 - ETHIK RENTEN is associated with greenhouse gas emissions of 45'092 tonnes per year. You can offset these emissions today by reducing greenhouse gasses in a developing country. For SUPERIOR 1 - ETHIK RENTEN, this costs 541'106 EUR. Your investment becomes climate neutral and you advance social benefits for the world's poorest people.

Sector and Emission Allocation



The greenhouse gas emissions of SUPERIOR 1 - ETHIK RENTEN stem from different sectors. The Emissions bar shows what percentage of total emissions stems from what sector. The Allocation bar shows what percentage of SUPERIOR 1 - ETHIK RENTEN is invested in what sector. You can see that certain sectors are much more greenhouse gas intensive than others. The sector classification follows the GICS classification.



Top 5 Absolute Contributors

The List below shows the 5 individual companies contributing most to the greenhouse gas emissions of SUPERIOR 1 - ETHIK RENTEN. The bar chart on the right contrasts this with the value of those 5 companies within the portfolio. As not all companies disclose their greenhouse gas emissions, we show in the "Data Source" section if the emission data used has been disclosed by the respective company or was approximated through our proprietary methodology.


Company	Financed Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	% of total	Data Source
VOESTALPINE AG 10.04.2026	11'601	25.7%	DC
FIRSTGROUP PLC 29.11.2022	6'408	14.2%	DC
NORSK HYDRO ASA 11.04.2025	4'447	9.9%	DC
ENERSIS AMERICAS SA 25.10.2026	4'295	9.5%	DC
AP MOELLER-MAERSK A/S 20.06.2029	3'744	8.3%	DC




Top 5 Carbon Intensive Firms per Mio EUR invested

The list on the right hand side shows the 5 most greenhouse gas intensive companies per 1 Mio EUR invested of SUPERIOR 1 - ETHIK RENTEN. Intensity figures are not linked to the actual weighting within the portfolio. Emissions per 1 Mio EUR invested are on the one hand influenced by the emissions of the company and on the other hand by the market capitalization. By investing 1 Mio EUR in a company with a small market capitalization one owns a larger percentage of the company and thus of their emissions than with a larger capitalized company. This effect is visualized in the graph below, where the bubble size represents emissions per 1 Mio EUR invested.

Company	Financed Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Data Source
VOESTALPINE AG 10.04.2026	3'231	DC
FIRSTGROUP PLC 29.11.2022	2'083	DC
CRH SMW FINANCE DAC 05.11.2026	1'983	DC
AP MOELLER-MAERSK A/S 20.06.2029	1'731	DC
NORSK HYDRO ASA 11.04.2025	1'546	DC




Sector Weight vs. Contribution to Emissions



Sector Weighting and Rel. Carbon Footprint

	Weight		Rel. Carbon Footprint tCO ₂ e / Mio EUR invested		Portfolio vs. Benchmark
	Portfolio	Benchmark	Portfolio	Benchmark	
Materials	4.67%	2.97%	1'686.6	982.1	71.74%
Industrials	11.20%	6.87%	391.4	148.1	164.28%
Utilities	2.67%	5.21%	602.3	1'269.1	-52.54%
Consumer Discretionary	10.11%	6.16%	62.9	63.6	-1.10%
Real Estate	12.93%	3.72%	12.8	23.1	-44.79%
Consumer Staples	1.44%	6.34%	101.9	59.9	70.01%
Information Technology	6.73%	5.84%	19.2	12.5	53.08%
Communication Services	6.10%	7.42%	15.0	24.8	-39.53%
Health Care	4.10%	7.31%	10.3	13.9	-26.26%
Financials	3.56%	25.57%	11.2	5.4	108.92%
Others	0.66%	0.70%	0.7	245.1	-99.70%

Relative Carbon Footprint Comparison



The tables below show the 10 largest greenhouse gas contributors and the 10 largest holdings respectively of the SUPERIOR 1 - ETHIK RENTEN. Under Company Data, you can find the sector and portfolio weight of each company. The Carbon Data section explains your Financed Emissions, i.e. the amount of greenhouse gases that the portfolio finances from the company's overall emissions, relative to company ownership. You can further see what % of the overall portfolio greenhouse gas emissions each company accounts for and if the company greenhouse gas emission number was disclosed by the company or approximated. In the Analysis section, the Benchmark emissions are stated and the Average Sector Emissions allow a comparison of the greenhouse gas intensity of a company against its respective sector, i.e. the amount of greenhouse gas emissions that an investment of the same size would have financed, would it have been invested in the overall sector rather than the specific company.

The exact effect on the portfolio can be found under Portfolio Contribution: this is the percentage change in carbon emissions between what the carbon footprint of the portfolio would be without the holding and what the carbon footprint is currently. This is a measurement of how much a specific holding raises or reduces the carbon footprint of the portfolio. A negative number indicates that the total portfolio emissions would be less without this specific investment.

Summary of 10 largest absolute contributors


Company	GICS Sub-Industry	Weight		Carbon Data				Analysis		
		Portfolio	Benchmark	Data Source	% of total	carbon intensity (tCO ₂ e / Mio. revenue)	Financed Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Benchmark Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Av. Sector Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Portfolio Contribution (tCO ₂ e)
VOESTALPINE AG 10.04.2026	Steel	1.2%	-	DC	25.7%	1'104.6	11'601.2	-	13'500.7	-10'960.48
FIRSTGROUP PLC 29.11.2022	Trucking	1.0%	0.0%	DC	14.2%	308.2	6'408.0	49.6	1'285.4	-5'775.55
NORSK HYDRO ASA 11.04.2025	Aluminum	1.0%	0.0%	DC	9.9%	777.4	4'446.5	42.4	6'408.3	-3'826.00
ENERSIS AMERICAS SA 25.10.2026	Electric Utilities	1.9%	0.0%	DC	9.5%	585.9	4'294.6	6.1	12'235.2	-3'050.35
AP MOELLER-MAERSK A/S 20.06.2029	Marine	0.7%	0.0%	DC	8.3%	1'118.6	3'743.8	104.4	4'161.2	-3'270.94
INCITEC PIVOT FIN LLC 03.08.2027	Diversified Chemicals	0.8%	-	DC	5.7%	1'526.5	2'575.3	-	1'637.7	-2'069.14
IMERYSA SA 15.01.2027	Construction Materials	0.9%	0.0%	DC	5.7%	725.6	2'555.4	18.2	7'401.7	-1'977.51
CRH SMW FINANCE DAC 05.11.2026	Construction Materials	0.2%	0.1%	DC	3.0%	1'391.1	1'366.3	392.9	1'989.0	-1'208.21
NATIONAL EXPRESS GROUP P 11.11.2023	Trucking	1.0%	0.0%	DC	2.7%	315.0	1'206.6	4.2	1'249.6	-509.39
MONDI FINANCE EUROPE PLC 01.04.2028	Paper Products	0.7%	0.0%	DC	2.1%	573.8	943.5	13.4	1'721.6	-487.44

Summary of 10 largest portfolio companies

Company	GICS Sub-Industry	Weight		Carbon Data				Analysis		
		Portfolio	Benchmark	Data Source	% of total	carbon intensity (tCO ₂ e / Mio. revenue)	Financed Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Benchmark Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Av. Sector Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Portfolio Contribution (tCO ₂ e)
ENERSIS AMERICAS SA 25.10.2026	Electric Utilities	1.9%	0.0%	DC	9.5%	585.9	4'294.6	6.1	12'235.2	-3'050.35
SEB SA 25.11.2022	Household Appliances	1.2%	-	DC	0.3%	31.4	140.5	-	146.4	749.63
VOESTALPINE AG 10.04.2026	Steel	1.2%	-	DC	25.7%	1'104.6	11'601.2	-	13'500.7	-10'960.48
SWISS RE FINANCE UK PLC 27.05.2023	Reinsurance	1.1%	0.1%	DC	0.0%	0.4	1.6	0.1	5.4	809.46
MCKESSON CORP 17.02.2029	Health Care Distributors	1.1%	0.0%	DC	0.1%	1.8	58.9	1.4	34.5	747.60
TYCO ELECTRONICS GROUP S 01.03.2023	Electronic Manufacturing Services	1.1%	0.0%	DC	0.2%	52.4	93.7	1.7	228.2	700.60
ALSTRIA OFFICE REIT-AG 23.06.2026	Office REITs	1.1%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	0.2	0.1	0.0	643.0	795.63
TELFONICA DEUTSCH FINAN 05.07.2025	Integrated Telecommunication Services	1.1%	0.0%	DC	0.1%	14.5	34.5	0.4	162.5	757.90
WHITBREAD GROUP PLC 16.10.2025	Hotels, Resorts & Cruise Lines	1.1%	0.0%	DC	0.1%	32.5	26.1	0.1	957.1	752.78
ROYAL MAIL PLC 08.10.2026	Air Freight & Logistics	1.1%	0.0%	DC	1.3%	46.5	602.0	9.4	1'104.7	164.99

Sector Analysis & Stock Selection

The graph below shows how the carbon allocation in the portfolio differs from the average of each sector. Sectors have been defined using the GICS classification at the Supersector/Industry Group level.



Attribution Analysis

The two principal reasons why the carbon exposure of the portfolio may differ from the benchmark are due to sector allocation as well as stock selection decisions. Sector allocation decision will cause the carbon intensity of the portfolio to diverge from the benchmark where the sectors are either carbon intensive or low carbon. If the portfolio is overweight in carbon intensive sectors the portfolio is likely to be more carbon intensive than the benchmark. However, if the stocks within a carbon intensive sector are the most carbon efficient companies, it is possible that the portfolio may still have a lower carbon footprint than the benchmark.


	Sector Allocation Contribution to Out/Underperformance (tCO ₂ e)	Sector Allocation Contribution to Out/Underperformance (%)	Stock Selection Contribution to Out/Underperformance (tCO ₂ e)	Stock Selection Contribution to Out/Underperformance (%)
Energy	-6'132.6	-18.2%	-6'132.6	-18.2%
Materials	7'009.6	20.8%	4'782.9	14.2%
Industrials	2'620.4	7.8%	3'822.3	11.3%
Consumer Discretionary	1'020.5	3.0%	-9.8	-0.0%
Consumer Staples	-611.8	-1.8%	608.1	1.8%
Health Care	-62.4	-0.2%	-61.0	-0.2%
Financials	-257.4	-0.8%	342.5	1.0%
Information Technology	84.1	0.2%	88.9	0.3%
Communication Services	30.1	0.1%	-166.3	-0.5%
Utilities	-4'996.7	-14.8%	-7'942.2	-23.5%
Real Estate	694.5	2.1%	-88.0	-0.3%
Others	84.9	0.3%	-393.0	-1.2%
Total	-516.8	-1.5%	-5'148.4	-15.3%

Invested Money	EUR
Portfolio	191'279'127
Benchmark	191'279'127
Total Emissions	tCO ₂ e
Portfolio	45'092.1
Benchmark	33'732.5
Difference	11'359.7

Interaction Effect:	17'024.9	50.5%
Portfolio Carbon Underperformance (tCO ₂ e)		11'359.7
Portfolio Carbon Underperformance (%)		33.7%

Explanation: The Underperformance of the portfolio is based on the effect of over/underweighting certain sectors and selecting more/less carbon intense stocks within each sector for each of the underlying holdings. A positive number indicates that the effect increased the greenhouse gas emission (in tons of CO₂e) and a negative number indicated a decreasing effect. In this case, the sector weighting of SUPERIOR 1 - ETHIK RENTEN saved 516.8 tCO₂e, while the stock selection saved 5'148.4 tCO₂e versus the benchmark. This explains a 1.5% outperformance through sector weighting and 15.3% carbon outperformance by stock picking.


Attribution Analysis - Graph




Scope 3 Overview

The following section provides a top-down approximation of the financed scope 3 emissions from each sector. The purpose of this analysis is to give an order of magnitude of the emissions in the portfolio on a sector level and should not be used as a basis for comparing two individual companies. The methodology includes Scope 1, 2 and Scope 3 upstream and product use downstream.

The following graph shows the financed scope 1+2 emissions in relation to the scope 3 emissions of the portfolio.



The graph below compares the total emissions (including Scope 1, Scope2 and Scope 3) between portfolio and benchmark.



*) The methodology includes Scope 1, 2 and Scope 3 upstream and product use downstream.

Company Sector & Breakdown

This table presents all holdings in the portfolio, sorted by sector, following the logic from the sections above (see benchmarking for further information). It shows how each company contributes to the overall portfolio footprint. It allows you to see which stocks are the greatest contributors to the portfolio's emission in absolute as well as relative terms.

Portfolio Contribution is the percentage change in carbon emissions between what the carbon footprint of the portfolio would be without the holding and what the carbon footprint is currently. This is a measurement of how much a specific holding raises or reduces the carbon footprint of the portfolio. The arrows on the far right indicate if a specific holding raises or reduce the carbon footprint of the portfolio, i.e. a negative number (arrows pint down) indicates that the total portfolio emissions would be less without this specific investment.

This helps with portfolio optimization and in managing the overall carbon portfolio footprint without comprising the chosen sector allocation.

Sectors have been defined using the GICS system at the most detailed level (Sub-Industry/GICS respectively Subsector/ICB).

Company	Weight		Carbon Data				Analysis		
	Portfolio	Benchmark	Data Source	% of total	carbon intensity (tCO ₂ e / Mio. revenue)	Financed Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Benchmark Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Av. Sector Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Portfolio Contribution (tCO ₂ e)
Diversified Chemicals	0.8%	0.3%		5.7%	1'526.5	2'575.3	387.3	1'637.7	-2'069.1
INCITEC PIVOT FIN LLC 03.08.2027	0.8%	-	DC	5.7%	1'526.5	2'575.3	-	1'637.7	-2'069.1
Construction Materials	1.1%	0.3%		8.7%	870.8	3'921.7	3'038.3	9'390.7	-3'209.4
IMERYSA SA 15.01.2027	0.9%	0.0%	DC	5.7%	725.6	2'555.4	18.2	7'401.7	-1'977.5
CRH SMW FINANCE DAC 05.11.2026	0.2%	0.1%	DC	3.0%	1'391.1	1'366.3	392.9	1'989.0	-1'208.2
Aluminum	1.0%	0.0%		9.9%	777.4	4'446.5	42.4	6'408.3	-3'826.0
NORSK HYDRO ASA 11.04.2025	1.0%	0.0%	DC	9.9%	777.4	4'446.5	42.4	6'408.3	-3'826.0
Steel	1.2%	0.2%		25.7%	1'104.6	11'601.2	1'030.5	13'500.7	-10'960.5
VOESTALPINE AG 10.04.2026	1.2%	-	DC	25.7%	1'104.6	11'601.2	-	13'500.7	-10'960.5
Paper Products	0.7%	0.1%		2.1%	573.8	943.5	86.5	1'721.6	-487.4
MONDI FINANCE EUROPE PLC 01.04.2028	0.7%	0.0%	DC	2.1%	573.8	943.5	13.4	1'721.6	-487.4
Building Products	0.9%	0.2%		0.6%	82.5	263.2	193.6	622.0	390.1
JOHNSON CONTROLS INTL PL 15.09.2023	0.9%	0.0%	DC	0.6%	82.5	263.2	9.8	622.0	390.1
Construction & Engineering	0.3%	0.3%		0.1%	157.1	59.7	145.3	143.6	145.0
FERROVIAL EMISIONES SA 14.05.2026	0.3%	0.0%	DC	0.1%	157.1	59.7	3.1	143.6	145.0
Electrical Components & Equipment	1.1%	0.3%		0.9%	68.3	412.0	16.6	130.2	384.5
PRYSMIAN SPA 11.04.2022	0.9%	-	DC	0.9%	68.9	393.0	-	98.8	208.9
NIDEC CORP. KYOTO 30.03.2026	0.3%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	58.4	19.0	0.3	31.4	172.4
Construction Machinery & Heavy Trucks	0.4%	0.4%		0.0%	10.7	19.5	25.7	31.0	249.3
VOLVO TREASURY AB 26.05.2025	0.4%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	10.7	19.5	0.7	31.0	249.3
Diversified Support Services	1.0%	0.0%		0.2%	10.5	71.1	3.1	487.4	672.2
ISS GLOBAL A/S 02.12.2024	1.0%	0.0%	DC	0.2%	10.5	71.1	1.3	487.4	672.2
Security & Alarm Services	0.3%	0.1%		0.1%	13.7	22.7	7.7	21.0	166.4
SECURITAS AB 22.02.2028	0.3%	0.0%	DC	0.1%	13.7	22.7	1.6	21.0	166.4
Human Resource & Employment Services	0.8%	0.0%		0.1%	2.3	30.9	1.3	21.8	559.5
MANPOWER INC. US 22.06.2026	0.8%	0.0%	DC	0.1%	2.3	30.9	0.7	21.8	559.5
Air Freight & Logistics	1.7%	0.4%		1.6%	39.1	702.8	380.2	1'742.8	516.7
BPOST SA 11.07.2026	0.3%	-	DC	0.1%	30.2	61.4	-	294.2	142.8
ROYAL MAIL PLC 08.10.2026	1.1%	0.0%	DC	1.3%	46.5	602.0	9.4	1'104.7	165.0
POSTNL NV 21.11.2024	0.3%	0.0%	DC	0.1%	13.2	39.3	1.4	343.9	199.7
Marine	0.7%	0.0%		8.3%	1'118.6	3'743.8	122.9	4'161.2	-3'270.9
AP MOELLER-MAERSK A/S 20.06.2029	0.7%	0.0%	DC	8.3%	1'118.6	3'743.8	104.4	4'161.2	-3'270.9
Trucking	2.0%	0.1%		16.9%	309.3	7'614.6	123.9	2'534.9	-6'386.7
FIRSTGROUP PLC 29.11.2022	1.0%	0.0%	DC	14.2%	308.2	6'408.0	49.6	1'285.4	-5'775.6
NATIONAL EXPRESS GROUP P 11.11.2023	1.0%	0.0%	DC	2.7%	315.0	1'206.6	4.2	1'249.6	-509.4
Airport Services	1.9%	0.0%		0.3%	71.8	133.9	1.0	164.0	1'216.4
SYDNEY AIRPORT FINANCE 26.04.2028	1.0%	0.0%	DC	0.1%	87.3	27.3	1.0	88.2	690.4
FRAPORT AG 09.07.2024	0.9%	-	DC	0.2%	68.6	106.6	-	75.8	508.1
Auto Parts & Equipment	3.4%	0.2%		2.2%	59.9	1'008.9	50.5	849.0	1'468.3
CONTINENTAL AG 12.09.2023	0.9%	0.0%	DC	0.8%	72.5	351.3	9.6	219.7	273.4
COMPAGNIE PLASTIC-OMNIUM 26.06.2024	0.9%	-	DC	0.9%	64.9	417.5	-	222.1	213.1
DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE PLC 10.03.2025	0.9%	0.0%	DC	0.1%	28.8	65.8	1.8	213.9	546.1
AUTOLIV INC. SE 26.06.2023	0.8%	0.0%	DC	0.4%	53.1	174.4	3.4	193.2	376.2
Automobile Manufacturers	1.2%	2.9%		1.0%	40.4	440.3	602.2	302.5	375.5

Company	Weight		Carbon Data				Analysis			
	Portfolio	Benchmark	Data Source	% of total	carbon intensity (tCO ₂ e / Mio. revenue)	Financed Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Benchmark Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Av. Sector Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Portfolio Contribution (tCO ₂ e)	
TRATON FINANCE LUXEMBOURG SA 24.03.2029	0.6%	0.7%	DC	0.5%	37.8	227.2	210.6	156.5	193.3	
AMERICAN HONDA FINANCE 24.03.2028	0.6%	0.2%	DC	0.5%	43.7	213.1	51.9	145.9	178.8	
Motorcycle Manufacturers	1.0%	0.0%		0.2%	28.3	86.0	2.4	52.9	619.3	
HARLEY-DAVIDSON FINL SER 08.06.2025	1.0%	0.0%	DC	0.2%	28.3	86.0	2.4	52.9	619.3	
Household Appliances	1.2%	0.0%		0.3%	31.4	140.5	14.0	146.4	749.6	
SEB SA 25.11.2022	1.2%	-	DC	0.3%	31.4	140.5	-	146.4	749.6	
Apparel, Accessories & Luxury Goods	0.6%	0.4%		0.0%	11.8	14.7	5.7	29.6	395.8	
RALPH LAUREN USA 15.06.2030	0.6%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	11.8	14.7	0.4	29.6	395.8	
Hotels, Resorts & Cruise Lines	1.1%	0.2%		0.1%	32.5	26.1	70.9	957.1	752.8	
WHITBREAD GROUP PLC 16.10.2025	1.1%	0.0%	DC	0.1%	32.5	26.1	0.1	957.1	752.8	
Internet & Direct Marketing Retail	0.6%	0.6%		0.0%	11.2	8.1	12.1	13.5	426.8	
EBAY INC 05.06.2027	0.6%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	11.2	8.1	0.5	13.5	426.8	
Department Stores	1.0%	0.1%		0.4%	32.1	170.3	6.0	220.2	556.7	
KOHL'S CORPORATION 17.07.2025	1.0%	0.0%	DC	0.4%	32.1	170.3	3.3	220.2	556.7	
Food Retail	0.9%	0.3%		0.8%	54.9	348.2	118.7	348.8	278.0	
AHOLD FINANCE USA LLC 01.05.2029	0.9%	0.0%	DC	0.8%	54.9	348.2	12.5	348.8	278.0	
Packaged Foods & Meats	0.6%	1.2%		0.2%	124.1	88.3	196.8	144.9	301.6	
KERRY GROUP FIN SERVICES 10.09.2025	0.6%	0.0%	AP	0.2%	124.1	88.3	1.3	144.9	301.6	
Health Care Equipment	0.8%	1.0%		0.1%	27.2	25.7	16.7	20.4	577.1	
ZIMMER BIOMET HOLDINGS 15.11.2027	0.8%	0.1%	DC	0.1%	27.2	25.7	1.3	20.4	577.1	
Health Care Distributors	1.1%	0.1%		0.1%	1.8	58.9	7.9	34.5	747.6	
MCKESSON CORP 17.02.2029	1.1%	0.0%	DC	0.1%	1.8	58.9	1.4	34.5	747.6	
Biotechnology	1.5%	1.2%		0.0%	15.0	15.7	9.7	24.2	1'076.1	
ABBVIE INC 17.05.2024	1.0%	0.7%	DC	0.0%	19.9	13.7	7.0	15.5	679.9	
BIOGEN INC 01.05.2030	0.5%	0.1%	DC	0.0%	5.7	2.1	0.2	8.7	384.3	
Pharmaceuticals	0.6%	3.0%		0.1%	22.9	25.0	144.5	21.9	405.7	
TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL CO LTD 21.11.2026	0.6%	0.2%	DC	0.1%	22.9	25.0	7.0	21.9	405.7	
Multi-Sector Holdings	1.6%	0.4%		0.3%	18.3	114.1	135.7	201.5	1'032.4	
GRUPOSURA FINANCE SA 29.04.2026	0.9%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	2.3	6.2	0.0	113.6	634.4	
WENDEL SA 26.04.2026	0.7%	0.0%	DC	0.2%	30.1	108.0	2.8	87.9	385.4	
Diversified Capital Markets	0.8%	1.3%		0.0%	4.0	3.5	13.6	13.1	587.6	
MACQUARIE GROUP LTD 03.02.2027	0.8%	0.1%	DC	0.0%	4.0	3.5	0.2	13.1	587.6	
Reinsurance	1.1%	0.2%		0.0%	0.4	1.6	0.6	5.4	809.5	
SWISS RE FINANCE UK PLC 27.05.2023	1.1%	0.1%	DC	0.0%	0.4	1.6	0.1	5.4	809.5	
IT Consulting & Other Services	1.0%	0.6%		0.4%	46.1	199.7	19.8	79.0	528.4	
DXC TECHNOLOGY CO 15.01.2026	1.0%	0.0%	DC	0.4%	46.1	199.7	5.4	79.0	528.4	
Application Software	0.9%	0.2%		0.0%	7.1	4.1	0.7	9.5	630.9	
CITRIX SYSTEMS INC 01.03.2026	0.9%	0.0%	AP	0.0%	7.1	4.1	0.0	9.5	630.9	
Systems Software	0.7%	1.2%		0.0%	10.8	5.1	4.5	5.3	460.0	
ORACLE CORP 25.03.2028	0.7%	0.7%	DC	0.0%	10.8	5.1	4.0	5.3	460.0	
Communications Equipment	0.7%	0.1%		0.0%	13.6	14.3	1.1	34.0	484.6	
JUNIPER NETWORKS USA 15.08.2029	0.7%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	13.6	14.3	0.3	34.0	484.6	
Technology Hardware, Storage & Peripherals	2.3%	1.3%		0.2%	3.0	68.0	10.2	128.4	1'637.0	
LENOVO GROUP LTD 24.04.2025	1.0%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	0.8	12.8	0.1	53.0	676.7	
DELL INTERNATIONAL LLC / EMC CORP 01.10.2026	0.5%	0.2%	DC	0.0%	5.6	19.1	4.9	29.5	362.1	
HEWLETT PACKARD EUS 15.10.2025	0.6%	0.1%	DC	0.1%	9.6	31.8	4.0	35.4	426.6	
NETAPP INC 22.06.2027	0.2%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	19.2	4.3	0.3	10.4	129.7	
Electronic Manufacturing Services	1.1%	0.1%		0.2%	52.4	93.7	37.2	228.2	700.6	
TYCO ELECTRONICS GROUP S 01.03.2023	1.1%	0.0%	DC	0.2%	52.4	93.7	1.7	228.2	700.6	
Integrated Telecommunication Services	4.2%	3.9%		0.5%	23.1	217.2	276.8	618.0	2'939.3	
TELFONICA DEUTSCH FINAN 05.07.2025	1.1%	0.0%	DC	0.1%	14.5	34.5	0.4	162.5	757.9	
ELISA COMMUNICATIONS 15.09.2027	0.8%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	3.0	2.0	0.0	111.7	539.8	
ORANGE SA 01.03.2031	1.0%	0.3%	DC	0.2%	28.1	92.5	23.5	147.5	624.6	

Company	Weight		Carbon Data				Analysis		
	Portfolio	Benchmark	Data Source	% of total	carbon intensity (tCO ₂ e / Mio. revenue)	Financed Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Benchmark Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Av. Sector Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Portfolio Contribution (tCO ₂ e)
BRITISH TELECOM PLC 10.03.2026	0.6%	0.1%	DC	0.0%	10.3	19.4	3.7	86.0	396.8
KONINKLIJKE KPN NV 18.11.2026	0.8%	0.0%	DC	0.2%	58.5	68.7	3.4	110.3	465.4
Advertising	0.9%	0.2%		0.1%	29.8	49.4	3.1	0.0	600.2
JCDECAUX SA 24.04.2028	0.9%	0.0%	DC	0.1%	29.8	49.4	0.7	0.0	600.2
Publishing	1.0%	0.0%		0.0%	3.8	6.1	0.1	0.0	687.8
PEARSON FUNDING FIVE PLC 06.05.2025	1.0%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	3.8	6.1	0.1	0.0	687.8
Electric Utilities	1.9%	2.7%		9.5%	585.9	4'294.6	7'683.4	12'235.2	-3'050.3
ENERSIS AMERICAS SA 25.10.2026	1.9%	0.0%	DC	9.5%	585.9	4'294.6	6.1	12'235.2	-3'050.3
Gas Utilities	0.8%	0.5%		1.1%	879.3	507.9	355.2	998.1	37.6
APT PIPELINES LTD 15.07.2027	0.8%	0.1%	DC	1.1%	879.3	507.9	42.7	998.1	37.6
Diversified REITs	0.7%	0.2%		0.1%	108.7	26.3	3.4	126.3	468.8
MERLIN PROPERTIES SOCIMI 02.11.2026	0.7%	0.0%	AP	0.1%	108.7	26.3	1.3	126.3	468.8
Office REITs	2.6%	0.4%		0.0%	12.2	9.2	11.0	1'491.3	1'879.9
ALSTRIA OFFICE REIT-AG 23.06.2026	1.1%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	0.2	0.1	0.0	643.0	795.6
INMOBILIARIA COLONIAL SA 17.04.2026	0.7%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	25.1	4.6	0.2	411.9	502.0
WORKSPACE GROUP PLC 11.03.2028	0.8%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	21.2	4.6	0.0	436.4	532.4
Retail REITs	2.1%	0.7%		0.2%	94.0	84.8	18.2	83.8	1'434.6
SCENTRE GROUP TRUST 1/2 28.01.2026	1.0%	0.1%	DC	0.1%	170.5	64.5	4.4	39.9	647.1
KLEPIERRE 12.05.2029	0.5%	0.1%	DC	0.0%	43.9	9.4	0.8	19.5	335.3
MERCIALYS SA 27.02.2026	0.6%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	35.2	10.9	0.1	24.4	422.0
Specialized REITs	0.8%	0.7%		0.0%	48.8	9.3	56.3	93.0	572.7
PUBLIC STORAGE GLEND 24.01.2032	0.8%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	48.8	9.3	0.3	93.0	572.7
Real Estate Operating Companies	6.7%	0.6%		0.8%	128.0	362.4	37.9	758.5	4'885.6
CA IMMOBILIEN ANLAGEN AG 05.02.2027	1.0%	0.0%	AP	0.2%	262.9	72.3	0.6	108.8	616.3
IMMOFINANZ AG 15.10.2027	0.6%	0.0%	AP	0.2%	390.7	95.1	3.0	64.5	310.4
CITYCON TREASURY BV 01.10.2024	0.9%	0.0%	DC	0.2%	119.8	68.2	0.8	97.7	549.0
NE PROPERTY COOPERATIEF 09.10.2026	0.7%	0.0%	AP	0.0%	6.9	1.9	0.0	83.5	525.4
GRAND CITY PROPERTIES SA 17.04.2025	0.9%	0.0%	DC	0.1%	72.2	34.5	1.0	104.4	626.3
AROUNDTOWN PROPERTY HLGD 28.05.2026	0.9%	0.1%	DC	0.0%	9.3	2.3	0.2	104.1	656.8
CASTELLUM AB 04.09.2026	0.9%	0.0%	DC	0.0%	9.0	3.1	0.0	105.0	661.9
FASTIGHETS AB BALDER 20.01.2029	0.8%	0.0%	AP	0.2%	221.3	84.9	2.3	90.5	486.1
Others	0.7%	0.7%		0.0%	4.1	1.5	394.2	0.0	464.1
PRICELINE GROUP INC/THE 08.03.2028	0.7%	0.1%	AP	0.0%	4.1	1.5	0.2	0.0	464.1
Total portfolio	64.2%	83.6%		100.0%	174.3	45'092.1	33'732.5	62'993.7	

Note that the weighting for the benchmark will not always total 100% as the stocks shown are only for those held by the portfolio.

Carbon Ranking

Ranking

50

Global percentile (n=34434)

66

Peer percentile
(Lipper Global Bond Global Corporates,
n=62)

This is a standardized ranking of the fund based on the product's weighted average carbon intensity using two peergroups. One consisting of all other funds in the database (global percentile) and one where the fund is ranked taking the specific Lipper Global Classification into account (peer percentile). The ranking is based on percentiles and ranges from 0 (worst) to 100 (best).

<https://yoursri.com>

Important Information

Some of the information on this page and other related pages is provided to you for your information and is received from the Fund Management Company administering this fund. yourSRI accepts no liability for the reliability or accuracy of the data provided by third parties. Read more about our data sources in our Terms Conditions. The value of financial investments can go down in value as well as up, so you could get back less than you invest. It is therefore important that you understand the risks of investing.

yourSRI further accepts no liability for financial prejudice allegedly resulting from inaccuracy of assessments or data or from the misinterpretation of their scope. The assessments and data reported in this fact sheet are offered by yourSRI for informational purpose or for being used by financial professionals. They are in no way recommendations to invest or disinvest in any financial product. They must not be understood as a financial forecast of financial performance of underlying securities or researched companies. If you are unsure about the suitability of an SRI-investment please contact CSSP or your financial adviser/intermediary.

Portions of the assessments and data reported above are offered by ISS-Ethix Climate Solutions for informational purpose only or for being used by financial professionals. ISS-Ethix Climate Solutions cannot in any way guarantee the full accuracy or exhaustiveness of its analyses and cannot therefore accept any responsibility in case of reporting of false, inaccurate or incomplete information. The information is based on sources ISS-Ethix Climate Solutions believes to be reliable, but its accuracy is not guaranteed and it may be incomplete. Any opinions expressed are subject to change without notice. ISS-Ethix Climate Solutions accepts no liability for financial prejudice allegedly resulting from inaccuracy of assessments or data or from the misinterpretation of their scope. They are in no way recommendations to invest or disinvest in any financial product. They must not be understood as a financial forecast of financial performance of underlying securities of researched companies.

Portions of information contained in the assessments and data used was supplied by Lipper, A Thomson Reuters Company, subject to the following: Copyright 2021 © Thomson Reuters. All rights reserved. Any copying, republication or redistribution of Lipper content, including by caching, framing or similar means, is expressly prohibited without the prior written consent of Lipper. Lipper and/or yourSRI shall not be liable for any errors or delays in the content, or for any actions taken in reliance thereon.